

Robert T. Haslam (SBN 71134 / rhaslam@cov.com)  
Amy K. Van Zant (SBN 197482 / avanzant@cov.com)  
Hyun S. Byun (SBN 281753 / hbyun@cov.com)  
Samuel J. Edwards (SBN 289305 / sedwards@cov.com)  
**COVINGTON & BURLING LLP**  
333 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 700  
Redwood Shores, CA 94065  
Telephone: (650) 632-4700  
Facsimile: (650) 632-4800

Robert D. Fram (SBN 126750 / rfram@cov.com)  
Christine Saunders Haskett (SBN 188053 / chaskett@cov.com)  
Matthew D. Kellogg (SBN 280541 / mkellogg@cov.com)  
Tess A. Hamilton (SBN 279738 / tahamilton@cov.com)  
**COVINGTON & BURLING LLP**  
One Front Street  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
Telephone: (415) 591-6000  
Facsimile: (415) 591-6091

Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counter-defendant  
**ARIBA, INC.**

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

ARIBA, INC.,

Case No. 3:12-cv-01484 WHO

## Plaintiff/Counter-defendant.

**ARIBA, INC.’S ADMINISTRATIVE  
MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE A  
SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF ON  
WHETHER § 112, ¶ 6 APPLIES TO  
“DECIDING BETWEEN”**

V.

COUPA SOFTWARE INC.

Judge: Hon. William H. Orrick

Complaint Filed: March 23, 2012  
Trial Date: Not Set

## JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

1 Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-11, Ariba respectfully moves for leave to file the attached  
 2 Supplemental Brief on Whether § 112, ¶ 6 Applies to “Deciding Between.” [See Ex. 1 to the  
 3 Declaration of Ms. Amy Van Zant (“Van Zant Decl.”).]

4 Ariba requests this relief to address an issue that came up during the claim construction  
 5 hearing on September 13, 2013. The Court stated that it was inclined to apply 35 U.S.C. §  
 6 112, ¶ 6 to the “deciding between” limitation from Claims 35 and 41 because the limitation  
 7 closely tracks the “order generating means” limitation from Claim 1, only without invoking the  
 8 word “means.” Ariba submits this supplemental brief on the narrow issue of whether 35 U.S.C.  
 9 § 112, ¶ 6 properly applies to the “deciding between” limitation found in Claims 35 and  
 10 41 where, as here, the claim limitation contains something that can be construed as an act  
 11 (namely, “deciding between” the ordering modules).

12 Ariba has conferred with counsel for Coupa regarding the relief requested herein, and  
 13 Coupa’s counsel opposes this motion.

14 Therefore, Ariba respectfully requests an order permitting it to file the brief  
 15 attached as Exhibit 1 to the Van Zant Declaration.

16  
 17 DATED: September 16, 2013

COVINGTON & BURLING

18  
 19 By: /s/ Amy K. Van Zant

20 Robert T. Haslam

21 Amy K. Van Zant

22 Hyun S. Byun

23 Samuel J. Edwards

24 COVINGTON & BURLING LLP

25 333 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 700

26 Redwood Shores, CA 94065

27 Telephone: (650) 632-4700

28 Facsimile: (650) 632-4800

29  
 30 Attorneys for Plaintiff Ariba, Inc.